

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/576,345	Applicant(s) MARCHAND ET AL.	
	Examiner Dwan A. Gerido	Art Unit 1777	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dwan A. Gerido. (3)_____.

(2) Feng Shan. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 37-41, 45, and 46.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant was advised that claims 1-27, 29-36, 40, and 42-44 would be in condition for allowance if claims 37-39, 41, 45, and 46 were cancelled. Applicant was also advised that claim 40 should be amended to depend from claim 20, and include limitations stating that the chip is functionalized for analysis for nucleic acids, antibodies, proteins, or cells. Applicant agreed with the Examiner's proposal, and gave consent to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment